# WESTFIELD-WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP ADVISORY PLAN COMMISSION

**Petition Number** 

0710-DP-14

Approximate Address

3304 East 146<sup>th</sup> Street

Petitioner Request Thompson-Thrift Development

Development Plan and Site Plan Review of a proposed commercial development, to be known as Bridgewater

Promenade.

**Current Zoning** 

**Bridgewater PUD** 

Approximate Acreage

10.5

**Associated Petitions** 

0607-PUD-08

Amended & Restated Bridgewater

PUD Ordinance

0710-SIT-11

Bridgewater Promenade Site Plan

**Exhibits** 

1) WCD Staff Report, 10/22/07

2) WCD Finding of Fact, 10/22/07

3) E-mail, Westfield Public Works, 10/09/07

4) E-mail, Hamilton County Surveyor's office, 10/09/07

5) Petitioner's Exhibits, 10/09/07

# **PROCEDURE**

- o Development Plan Review requires a Public Hearing.
- Approval of a Development Plan Review must be granted if the submitted plans demonstrate compliance with the terms of the underlying zoning district or applicable PUD, any Variances associated with the site, and any Commitments associated with the site.
- O The Advisory Plan Commission may approve, conditionally approve, continue, or deny the requested approval. Any conditions must be determined through agreement of the APC and the petitioner. Any continuance should also be determined through agreement of the APC and the petitioner
- o Any denial must be justified in writing on the accompanying Finding of Fact sheet.
- O No subdivision primary plat accompanies this request the property in question is not seeking subdivision approval.

# <u>PETITION HISTORY – OCTOBER 22, 2007:</u>

The October 22, 2007 public hearing for this petition represents the first appearance before the Advisory Plan Commission.

### **ANALYSIS**

The subject site is located in the northeast corner of the intersection of 146<sup>th</sup> Street and Carey Road, is a single parcel, and consists of approximately 10.5 acres. The subject site is improved with a single-family detached dwelling, which is proposed to be demolished. The southeast corner of the subject site is densely wooded with existing mature trees, and the site is otherwise unimproved and used agriculturally.

The subject site abuts the public right-of-way of 146<sup>th</sup> Street to the south, which remains under the jurisdiction of the Hamilton County Highway Department. The subject site abuts the public right-of-way of Carey Road to the west. No regulated drains cross the subject site.

The subject site is located in the Bridgewater PUD zoning district. Property abutting the subject site to the north is included in the Bridgewater PUD, and is currently unimproved. Property abutting the subject site to the west is located within the Special Business Planned Development (SB-PD) District, and is improved with single-family detached dwellings in the Bridlewood and Spring Meadows subdivisions. The subject site abuts the Brentwood Village subdivision at the west property line. The Brentwood Village subdivision is zoned SF-3, and consists of single-family detached dwellings. Properties abutting the south property line of the subject site are within the planning and zoning jurisdiction of the City of Carmel, and properties abutting the subject site within Carmel's jurisdiction are improved with single-family detached dwellings.

This development plan review would provide for the development of an integrated commercial center, consisting of four (4) outlots, a main in-line multi-tenant structure, and a proposed daycare. This petition would provide for Development Plan Review of the proposed daycare, the in-line multi-tenant structure, and Outlots 3 and 4. Outlots 1 and 2 would not be included in this request, and would be subject to separate review at a later date.

### **DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW**

### **Development Requirements – General**

This development plan complies with the applicable Bridgewater PUD zoning standards, as well as the applicable commitments. No waiver requests are associated with this petition. The property in question is not located within any overlay zone. This portion of the subject site is not being subdivided, so the terms of the Subdivision Control Ordinance are not applicable.

The Land Use Concept Map of the Amended Westfield-Washington Township 2020 Plan identifies the subject site as located in a "Local Commercial" area (pg. 23). Local Commercial areas are intended to provide goods and services used on a day-to-day basis (pg. 46). Examples of appropriate business types include restaurants, banks, drug stores,

dry cleaners, and gasoline service stations (pg. 46).

The proposed street access points have been designed and located so as to minimize safety hazards and congestion, and the capacity of adjacent streets is sufficient to safely and efficiently accept traffic generated by the new development. The applicable utilities have sufficient capacity to provide a satisfactory level of service to meet the needs of the proposed development, and the entrances, streets and internal traffic circulation facilities are compatible with existing and planned streets and adjacent development.

# **Development Requirements for Each District**

#### Site Access and Circulation

The petitioner's plans would provide for safe and efficient movement of vehicles into and within the site (WC 16.04.165, D4a). The subject site proposes a single right-in/right-out access point from the north side of 146<sup>th</sup> Street. The Hamilton County Highway Department maintains jurisdiction over the 146<sup>th</sup> Street right-of-way, and has authorized the proposed access point. The subject site proposes two access points from the east side of the Carey Road right-of-way, which are depicted as aligned with the access drives from the residential developments on the west side of Carey Road. These two access points have been reviewed and approved by WPWD, which maintains jurisdiction over Carey Road. Internal circulation within the proposed center was reviewed at the September 26, 2007 TAC meeting, and no revisions to the proposed internal circulation were proposed.

Submitted plans depict an eight-foot wide multi-use asphalt path within the right-of-way of 146<sup>th</sup> Street and Carey Road. Submitted site plans also depict proposed internal walkways abutting the interior access drives.

The proposed site access and circulation was reviewed at the September 26, 2007 TAC meeting. The submitted plans reflect compliance with the comments issued by the attending agencies.

### Landscaping

Terms of the Amended and Restated Bridgewater PUD create landscaping standards specific to the subject site. In addition, commitments recorded with the PUD provide additional landscaping requirements specific to certain property lines. The submitted landscaping plans depict compliance with both the terms of the Bridgewater PUD and the associated commitments.

### Road Frontage

Terms of the Bridgewater PUD provide for the satisfaction of Road Frontage requirements with either shade trees or ornamental trees, or a combination of both. The submitted landscaping plan depicts compliant road frontage plantings.

| Road frontage-<br>Carey Road | <u>Linear</u><br><u>frontage</u> | Reg'd | <u>Shown</u> | +/- |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----|
| 1 SH per 40' or              | 720'                             | 18    | 15           | +0  |
| 2 ORN per 40'                | 720'                             | 36    | 6 (=3 SH)    |     |

| Road frontage-<br>146 <sup>th</sup> Street | <u>Linear</u><br>frontage | Req'd | Shown       | <u>+/-</u> |
|--|---------------------------|-------|-------------|------------|
| 1 SH per 40' or                            | 600'                      | 15    | 14          | +1         |
| 2 ORN per 40'                              | 600'                      | 30    | 3 (=1.5 SH) |            |

#### On-Site Standards

The terms of the landscaping ordinance establish on-site standards, calculated on a peracre basis. The submitted landscaping plan depicts compliant on-site plantings, as depicted in the table below.

While the entire parcel is approximately 10.5 total acres, the excluded outlots consist of approximately 2.75 acres. Those outlots are excluded from this review, and will be required to undergo separate review for compliant landscaping prior to the issuance of an ILP for those outlots. The acreage remaining after the removal of the excluded outlots is approximately 7.75 acres.

| On-Site Stds      | Acreage  | Req'd | Shown | +/-  |
|-------------------|----------|-------|-------|------|
| Shade = 10/ac     | +/- 7.75 | 78    | 99    | +21  |
| Ornamental /      | +/- 7.75 | 78    | 79    | +1   |
| evergreen = 10/ac |          |       |       |      |
| Shrubs = 25/ac    | +/- 7.75 | 194   | 387   | +193 |

#### **Parking**

The terms of the Bridgewater PUD require 3.5 parking spaces per one thousand square feet of retail floor space. The submitted site plan depicts approximately 42,330 square feet of enclosed area, which would result in a parking requirement of 149 spaces. The submitted site plan depicts 226 spaces, which would comply with the requirement. The submitted site plan also depicts compliant parking lot island area, islands planted with a minimum of two trees and four shrubs, and no parking rows in excess of two hundred linear feet.

### Lighting

The filed development plans include a lighting plan that depicts compliant photometric foot-candle outputs, compliant fixtures, and compliant lighting pole heights.

### Signs

The submitted site plan depicts the approximate location of a proposed monument sign, and a rendering of a proposed monument sign is included in the original submittal. The submitted rendering and site plan do not include dimensions, so it is not possible to determine compliance at this time. Compliance will be verified at the time a Sign Permit is requested for the subject site.

### **Building Orientation**

As per WC 16.04.165, D, 3, e, loading docks or spaces are not permitted to face a public street or an adjoining residential district. Plans submitted by the petitioner do not depict any proposed loading docks or spaces.

No outside storage is permitted. Plans submitted show no outside storage areas.

Roof and ground-mounted mechanical equipment must be completely enclosed. No ground-mounted mechanical equipment is depicted on the submitted site plans. Submitted elevations depict fully enclosed hip roofs, which would satisfy the screening requirements for roof-mounted mechanicals.

Terms of the Bridgewater PUD provide expanded architectural standards, requiring materials and finish accents in excess of the terms of the Westfield-Washington Township Zoning Ordinance. Elevations provided for each proposed structure depict compliance with these expanded standards. Additional commitments required residential features and architectural elements for the proposed gasoline service station, which are reflected in the submitted elevations.

#### **Building Materials**

As per WC 16.04.165, D, 3, f, finish materials shall be either all brick or comprised of two or more building materials, with the primary material being either brick or E.F.I.S. The submitted elevations depict brick and E.F.I.S finish materials supplemented with various textures, architectural elements, and colors on all sides. The submitted elevations depict the proposed structure as constructed entirely of brick and EIFS, with granite, aluminum and bronze accents.

#### PLAN DOCUMENTATION

Pending compliance with any conditions, the petitioner will have provided the required documents.

| Required Plan        | Original | Amended | Status    |
|----------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Site Plan            | 9/12/07  | 10/3/07 | Compliant |
| Demolition Plan      | 9/12/07  | -       | Compliant |
| Overall Plan         | 9/12/07  | 10/3/07 | Compliant |
| Landscape Plan       | 9/12/07  | 10/3/07 | Compliant |
| Lighting Plan        | 9/12/07  | 10/3/07 | Compliant |
| Building Elevations  | 9/12/07  | 10/3/07 | Compliant |
| Access & Circulation | 9/12/07  | 10/3/07 | Compliant |

### **PROCEDURES**

The petitioner has followed all required procedures.

| Required Procedure    | Original | Status    |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------|
| Pre-Filing Conference | 6/4/07   | Compliant |
| Fees                  | 9/12/07  | Paid      |
| Legal Description     | 9/12/07  | Compliant |
| Consent Form          | 9/12/07  | Compliant |
| Contact Sheet         | 9/12/07  | Compliant |
| TAC                   | 9/26/07  | Compliant |
| Notice - Sign on site | 9/27/07  | Compliant |
| Notice- Newspaper     | 10/1/07  | Compliant |
| Notice -Mail          | 10/1/07  | Compliant |
| Submit Revisions      | 10/3/07  | Compliant |

### FINDINGS OF FACT

See attached.

### **RECOMMENDED MOTION**

Approve 0710-DP14 with the following conditions:

- 1. That the petitioner complies with any requirements from the Westfield Public Works Department;
- 2. That the petitioner complies with any requirements from the Hamilton County Surveyor's Office;
- 3. That these conditions be fulfilled prior to receiving a building permit for the proposed improvements.

\*\*\*\*

**AFS** 

# WESTFIELD-WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP ADVISORY PLAN COMMISSION

**Petition Number** 

0710-DP-14

**Approximate Address** 

3304 East 146<sup>th</sup> Street

Petitioner Request Thompson-Thrift Development

Development Plan and Site Plan Review of a proposed

commercial development, to be known as Bridgewater

Promenade.

**Current Zoning** 

Bridgewater PUD

**Approximate Acreage** 

10.5

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Advisory Plan Commission must determine the following:

1. The proposed development is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Comprehensive Plan.

Finding: The Land Use Concept Map of the Amended Westfield-Washington Township 2020 Plan identifies the subject site as located in a "Local Commercial" area (pg. 23). Local Commercial areas are intended to provide goods and services used on a day-to-day basis (pg. 46). Examples of appropriate business types include restaurants, banks, drug stores, dry cleaners, and gasoline service stations (pg. 46). The proposed development would be consistent with the recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan.

2. The proposed Development Plan satisfies the development requirements specified in this ordinance.

**Finding:** Pending compliance with any conditions, the proposed development plan satisfies the applicable requirements specified in the Westfield-Washington Township Zoning Ordinance.

| <b>Voting For</b>  | <b>Voting Against</b>               | <u>Abstain</u>                        |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Jim Carey  | Jim Carey                           | Jim Carey                             |
| Gloria Del Greco   | Gloria Del Greco                    | Gloria Del Greco                      |
| Robert Horkay  | Robert Horkay                       | Robert Horkay                         |
| Ginny Kelleher   | Ginny Kelleher                      | Ginny Kelleher                        |
| Ken Kingshill  | Ken Kingshill                       | Ken Kingshill                         |
| Joe Plankis  | Joe Plankis                         | Joe Plankis                           |
| William Sanders  | William Sanders                     | William Sanders                       |
| Cindy Spoljaric  | Cindy Spoljaric                     | Cindy Spoljaric                       |
| Carolyn Stevenson  | Carolyn Stevenson                   | Carolyn Stevenson                     |
| ATTEST:  |                                     |                                       |
| Plan Commission Secretar                                       | у,                                  |                                       |
| If voting against, please state reasons why in the space below | which portion of the Finding of ow: | Fact is in error and state any and al |
|  |                                     |                                       |
|  |                                     |                                       |
|  |                                     |                                       |

#### Al Salzman

From: Pete White

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 09, 2007 11:09 AM

To: Al Salzman

Cc: Donna Luley; Harry Nikides Subject: RE: Bridgewater Promenade

ΑI.

I gave Bill my comments yesterday and he said he would have something to me by tomorrow. There was nothing major that needed to be addressed so there is nothing on our end that will hold them from proceeding. Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks -Pete

\_\_\_\_\_\_

From: Al Salzman

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 09, 2007 11:04 AM **To:** Harry Nikides; Donna Luley; Pete White

Subject: Bridgewater Promenade

All-

Has WPWD determined if the Bridgewater Promenade (Thompson Thrift) can proceed to the APC on Oct. 22?

Please let me know no later than end of day today.

Thanks.

Al Salzman, AICP

Senior Planner
Westfield Community Development Department
317.896.5577 ph
317.896.2791 fx
asalzman@westfield.in.gov

#### Al Salzman

From: Greg Hoyes [grh@co.hamilton.in.us]
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2007 4:22 PM

To: Al Salzman

Cc: bterry@benchmarkls.com; Harry Nikides; Pete White

Subject: RE: Bridgewater Promenade - Oct. 22 APC

Al

I just got revised plans from Bill Terry and my quick initial review shows they have addressed all my concerns. If they have missed something, it would be very minor and easy to correct. From our standpoint, I see no reason they can not move forward to APC. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Greg Hoyes HCSO Plan Reviewer

No, not at all. It is his job to respond in the time frame given.

>>> "Al Salzman" <asalzman@westfield.in.gov> 11:28 AM Tuesday, October >>> 09, 2007 >>>

Mind if I forward that message to Bill Terry? He's gonna ask why I'm keeping him off the agenda...

Al Salzman, AICP

317.896.5577

asalzman@westfield.in.gov

----Original Message----

From: Greg Hoyes [mailto:grh@co.hamilton.in.us]

Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2007 11:14 AM

To: Al Salzman

Subject: Re: Bridgewater Promenade - Oct. 22 APC

Al

They me just answer that by saying that I have not received any revisions to address my comments. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Greg Hoyes HCSO Plan Reviewer

>>> "Al Salzman" <asalzman@westfield.in.gov> 11:07 AM Tuesday, October 09, 2007 >>> Greg-

Have the concerns of the HCSO been satisfied in regards to the Thompson-Thrift Bridgewater Promenade petition?

Please let me know no later than the end of the day today.

Thanks.

Al Salzman, AICP Senior Planner Westfield Community Development Department 317.896.5577 ph 317.896.2791 fx asalzman@westfield.in.gov

# WESTFIELD-WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP ADVISORY PLAN COMMISSION

**Petition Number** 

0710-SIT-11

**Approximate Address** 

3304 East 146<sup>th</sup> Street

Petitioner Request Thompson-Thrift Development

Development Plan and Site Plan Review of a proposed commercial development, to be known as Bridgewater

Promenade.

**Current Zoning** 

**Bridgewater PUD** 

**Approximate Acreage** 

10.5

**Associated Petitions** 

0607-PUD-08

Amended & Restated Bridgewater

PUD Ordinance

0710-DP-14

Bridgewater Promenade DPR

**Exhibits** 

1) WCD Staff Report, 10/22/07

#### **ANALYSIS**

The subject site is located in the northeast corner of the intersection of 146<sup>th</sup> Street and Carey Road, is a single parcel, and consists of approximately 10.5 acres. The subject site is improved with a single-family detached dwelling, which is proposed to be demolished. The southeast corner of the subject site is densely wooded with existing mature trees, and the site is otherwise unimproved and used agriculturally.

The subject site abuts the public right-of-way of 146<sup>th</sup> Street to the south, which remains under the jurisdiction of the Hamilton County Highway Department. The subject site abuts the public right-of-way of Carey Road to the west. No regulated drains cross the subject site.

The subject site is located in the Bridgewater PUD zoning district. This site plan approval would provide for the development of an integrated commercial center, consisting of four (4) outlots, a main in-line multi-tenant structure, and a proposed daycare. This petition would provide for Development Plan Review of the proposed daycare, the in-line multi-tenant structure, and two outlots. The other two outlots would not be included in this request, and would be subject to separate review at a later date.

This development plan complies with the applicable Bridgewater PUD zoning standards, as well as the applicable commitments. This portion of the subject site is not being subdivided, so the terms of the Subdivision Control Ordinance are not applicable.

The petitioner's plans would provide for safe and efficient movement of vehicles into and within the site (WC 16.04.165, D4a). The subject site proposes a single right-in/right-out access point from the north side of 146<sup>th</sup> Street. The Hamilton County Highway

Department maintains jurisdiction over the 146<sup>th</sup> Street right-of-way, and has authorized the proposed access point. The subject site proposes two access points from the east side of the Carey Road right-of-way, which are depicted as aligned with the access drives from the residential developments on the west side of Carey Road. These two access points have been reviewed and approved by WPWD, which maintains jurisdiction over Carey Road. Internal circulation within the proposed center was reviewed at the September 26, 2007 TAC meeting, and no revisions to the proposed internal circulation were proposed.

Submitted plans depict an eight-foot wide multi-use asphalt path within the right-of-way of 146<sup>th</sup> Street and Carey Road. Submitted site plans also depict proposed internal walkways abutting the interior access drives. The proposed site access and circulation was reviewed at the September 26, 2007 TAC meeting. The submitted plans reflect compliance with the comments issued by the attending agencies.

#### RECOMMENDED MOTION

Approve 0710-SIT-11 with the following conditions:

1. That any conditions of 0710-DP-14 be satisfied prior to the issuance of an ILP for the subject site.

\*\*\*\*

**AFS**